

Rushcliffe Borough Council – Scrutiny Matrix

Councillor Request for Scrutiny		
Proposed topic of scrutiny ...	Update on current Police performance and resources for Rushcliffe	
I would like to understand ... (key lines of enquiry)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review of the last 12 months crime and community safety performance • Emerging trends and issues • Update on resource deployment and projects e.g Operation Reacher • Partnership work with RBC and other key CSP partners e.g enviro crime, co- location etc • Stakeholder communication and engagement 	
I think this topic should be scrutinised because ... (please tick)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Poor Performance Identified
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Change in Legislation or Local Policy
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Resident Concern or Interest
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Cabinet Recommendation
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Links to the Corporate Strategy
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (please state reason)
Officer Consideration of Councillor Request for Scrutiny		
Officer Feedback (please tick)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Officer Comment
- Issue already being addressed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
- Issue has already been considered in the last 2 years?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
- Issue is a legal matter	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
- Issue of a complaint investigation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
- Issue is a staffing matter	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
- There is an alternative way of dealing with the issue	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Is there sufficient capacity ...		
- Scrutiny Work Programme?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
- Officer Resources?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

Recommendation	Potential item for Communities Scrutiny Group.
Lead Officer	Geoff Carpenter
Proposed Timescale for Scrutiny and Scrutiny Group	Proposal for Summer 2021

Rushcliffe Borough Council – Scrutiny Matrix

Councillor Request for Scrutiny		
Proposed topic of scrutiny ...	Inclusive Language	
I would like to understand ... (key lines of enquiry)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Are all councillors, officers and staff fully trained to use appropriate language to current best practice norms when referring to protected characteristics (age, disability, gender reassignment, marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity, race, religion or belief, sex, and sexual orientation)? • Is (further) training required? • Do our policies promote best practice in terms of inclusive language and are any additional policies needed? • Are procedures in place to monitor our use of inclusive language and are any additional procedures needed? • Does the wording in our constitution reflect best practice? • To what extent should we use gender neutral language? • Should we change “Chairman” etc to “Chair” etc and should we allow personal choice by postholder? • Should we have a policy on gender pronoun declaration (e.g. use in email signatures)? 	
I think this topic should be scrutinised because ... (please tick)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Poor Performance Identified
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Change in Legislation or Local Policy
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Resident Concern or Interest
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Cabinet Recommendation
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Links to the Corporate Strategy
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Other (please state reason) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Risk of reputational damage • Risk of causing offense • Change in societal norms
Officer Consideration of Councillor Request for Scrutiny		
Officer Feedback (please tick)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Officer Comment

- Issue already being addressed	✓	These issues have been considered by scrutiny as part of the development of the Rushcliffe Equality Scheme (Communities scrutiny Group – August 2020) and will be reviewed as part of the discussion at the next Communities Scrutiny Group where the Group will consider the final Scheme.
- Issue has already been considered in the last 2 years?	✓	
- Issue is a legal matter	x	
- Issue of a complaint investigation	x	
- Issue is a staffing matter	✓	
- There is an alternative way of dealing with the issue	✓	
Is there sufficient capacity ...		
- Scrutiny Work Programme?	x	
- Officer Resources?	x	
Recommendation		Changes made as part of the current review of the Rushcliffe Equality Scheme are approved and allowed time to bed-in. Further scrutiny is not recommended at this time.
Lead Officer		None
Proposed Timescale for Scrutiny and Scrutiny Group		None

Rushcliffe Borough Council – Scrutiny Matrix

Councillor Request for Scrutiny		
Proposed topic of scrutiny ...	An Energy Self Sufficient Borough	
I would like to understand ... (key lines of enquiry)	<p>How can Rushcliffe produce enough electric power to get near to self-sufficiency (power station aside)?</p> <p>What big step changes are needed to get us there?</p> <p>In Rushcliffe many properties have no cavity walls and both old and new properties lack energy microgeneration. Many people see the potential practical obstacles and hesitate and are wary of new schemes. Seeing ads in national papers for companies pushing air heat exchange units fail to convince - how do you know they are not cowboys.</p> <p>The provision by a local authority of reliable practical advice and information about reputable firms which are local and trusted could provide a gear change.</p>	
I think this topic should be scrutinised because ... (please tick)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Poor Performance Identified
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Change in Legislation or Local Policy
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Resident Concern or Interest
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Cabinet Recommendation
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Links to the Corporate Strategy
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (please state reason)
Officer Consideration of Councillor Request for Scrutiny		
Officer Feedback (please tick)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Officer Comment
- Issue already being addressed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
- Issue has already been considered in the last 2 years?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
- Issue is a legal matter	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

- Issue of a complaint investigation	x	
- Issue is a staffing matter	x	
- There is an alternative way of dealing with the issue	x	
Is there sufficient capacity ...		
- Scrutiny Work Programme?	✓	
- Officer Resources?	✓	
Recommendation	Potential item for Communities Scrutiny Group. Links with the carbon reduction agenda and councillors' roles as community leaders	
Lead Officer	Derek Hayden	
Proposed Timescale for Scrutiny and Scrutiny Group	Proposal for Summer 2021	